

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
Alameda County	12/1965	22 / 712	Automatic up to 5%	YES		Ordinance
Arroyo Grande	05/1986	5 / 498	Lesser of 8% or 75% CPI	YES	1-1-3	Ordinance
Azusa	01/1992	6 / 548	8%/75% of CPI	NO		Ordinance
Beaumont	10/1984	8 / 459	Established by Hearing	NO	2-2-1	Ordinance
Benicia	09/1978	4 / 317	Established by Hearing	NO	2-2-1	Ordinance
Calistoga	08/1984	5 / 569	Established by Hearing	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Camarillo	12/1981	4 / 747	Established by Hearing	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Capitola	11/1979	8 / 623	Lesser of 5% or 60% CPI	YES	City Council	Ordinance
Carpinteria	03/1982	7 / 866	75% of CPI	YES		Ordinance
Carson	08/1979	28 / 2565	Set by Board	YES	2-2-3	Ordinance
Cathedral City	03/1983	10 / 2064	75% of CPI	YES	0-0-5	Initiative
Chino	08/1983	5 / 554	66% of CPI.	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Cloverdale	08/1986	4 / 165	Set by Board	YES to 10%	0-0-3	Ordinance
Clovis	09/1978	6 / 582	Rent Review Commission	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Colton	06/1990	8 / 916	60% of CPI	NO		Ordinance
Cotati	11/1979	3 / 106	Set by Board	YES		Initiative
Daly City	06/1980	1 / 501	Set by Board	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
Delano	11/1984	4 / 310	50% of CPI	YES	1-1-3	Initiative
East Palo Alto	11/1983	4 / 274	Set by Board	YES		Initiative
Escondido	06/1988	30 / 3585	Set by Board	YES	City Council	Initiative
Fairfield	11/1984	9 / 883	Set by Board	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Fontana	10/1988	10 / 684	7% unless CPI > 7%	NO	Rent Admin.	Ordinance
Fremont	02/1987	3 / 732	Greater \$10 or 80% CPI	YES		Ordinance
Fresno	12/1987	30 / 3942	Rent Review Commission	YES	1-1-3	Ordinance
Gardena	04/1987	27 / 1156	Rent Mediat w/Arbitration	NO	3-3-3	Ordinance
Gilroy	05/1987	4 / 336	Lesser of 5% or 80% CPI	NO	NONE	Ordinance
Grover City	12/1987	3 / 140	Graduated CPI	NO		Ordinance
Hawthorne	06/1979	11 / 327	Rent Mediation Board	NO		
Hayward	02/1980	16 / 2160	Lesser of 3% or 60% CPI to 8%	YES	1-1-3	Ordinance
Hemet	05/1979	20 / 2805	Set by Board	NO	1-1-3	Initiative
Hollister	05/1989	1 / 235	Lesser of 8% or 80% CPI	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Indio	03/1984	6 / 528	75% of CPI	NO		Initiative
Lancaster	03/1985	27 / 2584	Set by Board	YES	1-1-3	Initiative

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
La Verne	10/1984	8 / 1762	Lesser of 7% or CPI	NO	Rent Admin.	Ordinance
Lompoc	12/1983	7 / 654	75% of CPI to 10%	NO	2-2-1	Ordinance
Los Angeles City	08/1978	62 / 5885		NO	Determnd by Board	Ordinance
Los Angeles County	03/1988	ALL / ALL	Lesser of 75% CPI or 8 %	NO	0-0-5	Ordinance
Los Gatos	10/1980	2 / 137	100% CPI or 5%	\$25 or aver		Ordinance
Malibu	12/1991	2 / 527	75% of CPI	To 10%		Ordinance
Merced	05/1982	3 / 574	Set by Hearing	NO	2-2-3	Ordinance
Milpitas	08/1992		50% of CPI or 8%	Aver. Rent	City Council	Ordinance
Montclair	11/1985	8 / 620	Lesser of 6% or 60% CPI	NO	2-2-1	Ordinance
Moreno Valley	07/1987	7 / 809	Lesser of 5% or 65% CPI	With limit	Park or Resident Comm.	Ordinance
Morgan Hill	03/1983	9 / 875	75% of CPI	YES	1-1-3	Ordinance
Morro Bay	08/1986	15 / 641	75% of CPI	5% Cap	0-0-5	Ordinance
Napa	12/1983		8%		1-1-5	Ordinance
Oakland	09/1980	3 / 49	Automatic 5%	NO		Ordinance
Oceanside	05/1982	20 / 2401	Lesser of 8% or CPI	YES	0-0-5	Ordinance
Oxnard	03/1983	25 / 2780		25% cap		Ordinance

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
Pacifica	09/1991	1 / 93	75% of CPI	NO	NONE	Ordinance
Palmdale	10/1985	15 / 1455	CPI or Arb Award	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Palm Desert	04/1980	4 / 676	75% of CPI	YES	5 PICKED	Ordinance
Palm Springs	04/1980	14 / 2242	75% of CPI	YES	0-0-5	Ordinance
Paramount	07/1987		100% of CPI	NO	2-2-0	Ordinance
Pismo Beach	04/1981	2 / 412	6%/75% of CPI	NO		Ordinance
Pleasanton	02/1993	4 /	100% of CPI or 5%	To 25% in 5 Years	2-2-1	Ordinance
Pomona	05/1992	19 / 1836	By Mediation	NO		Ordinance
Rancho Mirage	07/1982	6 / 882	75% of CPI	Aver Rent	1-1-5	Initiative
Redlands	12/1982	8 / 684	Less of 6-9% or 75% CPI	NO	0-0-3	Ordinance
Riata	03/1992	12 / 1425	Rent Review Commission	YES	0-0-5	Ordinance
Riverside County	08/1983	124 / 12376	100% of CPI	NO	2-2-1	Ordinance
Rocklin	05/1982	3 / 384	Guaranteed CPI	NO	1 AND UP	Ordinance
Rohnert Park	12/1987	5 / 1314	75% of CPI or 4% Cap	YES	5	Initiative
Salinas	10/1990	11 / 1437	75% of CPI or 8% cap	NO		Ordinance
San Bernardino	09/1984	16 / 1487	Lesser of 4% or 75% CPI	NO	NONE	Ordinance

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
San Buena Ventura	01/1986		7% or 75% CPI	15% or \$35	0-0-5	Ordinance
San Francisco	06/1970	1 / 56	60% of CPI or 4% to 7%	YES		Ordinance
San Jose	07/1985	70 / 11435	3-7% or 75% CPI	YES	NONE	Ordinance
San Juan Capistrano	03/1979	7 / 1209	100% CPI	YES	2-2-1	Ordinance
San Luis Obispo City	06/1988	15 / 1551	Graduated CPI w 9% cap	NO		Initiative
San Luis Obispo County	06/1988	40 / 2408	60% CPI	NO	1-1-30-0-3	Initiative
San Marcos	11/1980	17 / 3216	CPI or NOI	With limit		Ordinance
San Rafael	04/1990	1 / 397	3-7.5% of CPI	YES	NONE	Ordinance
Santa Barbara County	09/1984	15 / 950	75% CPI	10% 1-5 yrs	NONE	Ordinance
Santa Clarita	12/1990	15 / 2070	100% CPI w 6% cap	NO		Ordinance
Santa Cruz County	01/1979	36 / 2212	50% of CPI + pass thru	YES		Ordinance
Santa Monica	04/1979	3 / 283	Set by Board	NO		Initiative
Santa Paula	06/1984	9 / 838	Lesser of 7% or 75% CPI	10% 1-3 yrs	0-0-3	Ordinance
Scotts Valley	11/1980	5 / 527	75% of CPI	YES	0-0-5	Ordinance

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present

California Jurisdictions with Mobilehome Park Rent Stabilization Ordinances

City/County	Date Passed	Number of Affected Parks/Spaces	Amount of Annual Increment Permitted w/out Hearing	Vacancy Control	Composition Of Comm/Board (Owner/Resident/Neutral)	Adopted by
Simi Valley	03/1983	6 / 354	Rent Review Commission	NO		Ordinance
Sonoma County	06/1987	51 / 3736	100% of CPI	YES		Ordinance
Thousand Oaks	07/1980	8 / 897	Less of 3-7% or 75% CPI	YES		Ordinance
Union City	05/1980	3 / 918	7% or 90% of CPI	YES		Ordinance
Upland	12/1985	6 / 866	80% of CPI or 7%	7% CAP		Ordinance
Vacaville	12/1977	12 / 1126	Graduated CPI	NO	0-0-3	Ordinance
Vallejo	02/1982	17 / 1990	5%	NO	1-1-3	Ordinance
Ventura City	06/1981	18 / 1087	Lesser of 7% or 75% CPI	Yes to 15%		Ordinance
Ventura County	02/1983	24 / 1421	Automatic 5%	Yes to 15%	0-0-3	Ordinance
Watsonville	03/1989	5 / 717	70% of CPI or 5%	NO		Ordinance
West Covina	09/1984	2 / 265	Less of 5-9% or 100% CPI	NO	Human Resources Commission	Ordinance
Yucaipa	12/1990	42 / 4425	66.67% OFCPI	5% CAP		Ordinance

CPI: Consumer Price Index. **Vacancy Control:** Yes indicates that there are limits as to how much rents can be increased for new residents. **Comp of Rent Comm/Board:** Refers to the composition of the Rent Commission or Rent Review Board. Thus a "1-1-5" would indicate representation as follows: 1 Park Owner, 1 Park Resident, 5 Neutral Parties. **Adopted by:** Most jurisdictions adopted rent stabilization as a city ordinance (city code). Some jurisdictions adopted the rent restrictions by local initiative (a vote by local residents).

NOTE: The information and statistics used in this chart was taken from a document entitled Appendix B – Actual Source Unknown at present